

8 SE. 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: Associate Deputy Director for Administration

FROM:   
Director of Security

SUBJECT: DDA FY 1986 Research and Development Program

REFERENCE: Memorandum from ADDA, dated 11 August 1983,  
same subject

25X1


1. The following list of research and development (R&D) requirements is submitted for inclusion in the overall DDA presentation to the DDS&T for FY 1986. Per the DDS&T instructions, reiterated in the referenced memorandum, we have attempted to combine similar security problem areas into broader generic topics for long-range R&D efforts. These requirements reflect our current concerns, and are presented in priority order.

- ° Security in the Electronic Office
- ° Information Systems Security
- ° Physical Security
- ° Technical Security

2. In Attachment A, we have included the problem statements which support each requirement under one of the above four categories. For further elaboration and clarification, we have included past problem statements which address specific concerns within these generic topics.

3. As in the past, we have separated the polygraph R&D inputs and ranked them as follows:

- ° Alternate Lie Detection Systems
- ° Development of Next Generation Polygraph



SECRET

25X1

The problem statements for these polygraph issues can be found in Attachment B.

4. Attachment C contains a problem statement submitted by our Security Records Division, which does not seem to fall into any of our above-mentioned generic requirements. It may fit within the Office of Data Processing (ODP) submission, or could possibly be addressed by ODP in-house.

5. While we have tried to comply with the recommended format, we are not sanguine about this approach. These broad generic requirements may reduce the hassle for elements within the DDS&T, but they present problems for the customer. Specifically, each of these broad topics contain high and low security priorities. To rank them against each other is to invite disaster, should one entire generic requirement fall below the line. Even the lowest ranked requirement contains some high priority concerns that should be funded before lower priority projects within higher ranked generic requirements. The only way this system can work for us is if all generic requirements receive some funding. Should these requirements be further combined with those of other offices at the DDA level, or within the DDS&T, it will only tend to exacerbate the situation.

6. Should you have any questions regarding these requirements, please contact [redacted] Chief, Technical Security Division, on [redacted]

25X1

[redacted]  
25X1

Attachments

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Adse

SECRET



ATTACHMENT  
A



**Page Denied**

Next 38 Page(s) In Document Denied